Topology in quantum states: Many-body ansätze

۲

Miguel M. Aguado Max-Planck-Institut für Quantenoptik, Garching, Germany

In collaboration with J.I. Cirac (Garching), G. Vidal (U. Queensland)

Mini-Symposium on Topological Quantum Computation Maynooth, January 6, 2007

Contents

۲

1. Topology and quantum computation

- Quantum computation and its difficulties
- Topological ideas. Kitaev's toric code
- 2. MPS, PEPS, and topology
 - The toric code in terms of PEPS
 - Other examples of topological PEPS
 - Beyond PEPS
- 3. MERA
 - The toric code as MERA

Conclusions and outlook

• Use topology to protect QI from local errors.

- Use topology to protect QI from local errors.
- Proposals use topological phases of matter:
 - (Non-Abelian) Anyonic excitations.

- Ground level degeneracy depends on topology of space.
- This degeneracy unrelated to spontaneous local group symmetry breakdown.
 - Governed by TQFT, quantum group symmetries
 (Levin + Wen, PR B71 (2005) 045110.)
 - Topological entanglement entropy S^{top} (see later.)

 Quantum Circuit model = Topological Functor model (TQFT). (Freedman et al., Commun. Math. Phys. 227 (2002) 587, 605.)
 QI encoded in inequivalent fusions of non-Abelian anyons.
 Gates performed by braiding of anyons.

- Quantum Circuit model = Topological Functor model (TQFT). (Freedman et al., Commun. Math. Phys. 227 (2002) 587, 605.)
 QI encoded in inequivalent fusions of non-Abelian anyons.
 Gates performed by braiding of anyons.
- FQHE $\nu = 5/2$, $\nu = 12/5$: non-Abelian anyonic excitations?

- Quantum Circuit model = Topological Functor model (TQFT). (Freedman et al., Commun. Math. Phys. 227 (2002) 587, 605.)
 QI encoded in inequivalent fusions of non-Abelian anyons.
 Gates performed by braiding of anyons.
- FQHE $\nu = 5/2$, $\nu = 12/5$: non-Abelian anyonic excitations?
- $|GS(\nu = 5/2)\rangle \sim$ Moore-Read Pfaffian Ψ : LL excitations $\sim SU(2)_{k=2}$ CS effective theory (but see Tőke + Jain, PRL 96 (2006) 246805.)

Cannot achieve universal QC by pure braiding (but see Freedman et al., PR **B73** (2006) 245307.)

 A simple model of topologically protected quantum memory: The toric code (Kitaev, Annals Phys. 303, 2003, 2-30.)

- A simple model of topologically protected quantum memory: The toric code (Kitaev, Annals Phys. 303, 2003, 2-30.)
- Place qubits at edges of square lattice.



- A simple model of topologically protected quantum memory: The toric code (Kitaev, Annals Phys. 303, 2003, 2-30.)
- Place qubits at edges of square lattice.
- Gapped *H*. Ground states satisfy 4-body constraints.
 Plaquette constraints (even parity).

$$\mathbf{q}_4 \quad \mathbf{q}_2 \qquad \prod_{i\in\square} \sigma_i^z |\Psi
angle = |\Psi
angle \; orall _{\Box},$$

M. Aguado: Topology in quantum states: Many-body ansätze Maynooth, Jan. 6, 2007 - p. 5

- A simple model of topologically protected quantum memory: The toric code (Kitaev, Annals Phys. 303, 2003, 2-30.)
- Place qubits at edges of square lattice.

Gapped *H*. Ground states satisfy 4-body constraints.
 Vertex constraints (4-spin-flip invariance).



- A simple model of topologically protected quantum memory: The toric code (Kitaev, Annals Phys. 303, 2003, 2-30.)
- Place qubits at edges of square lattice.
- Gapped *H*. Ground states satisfy 4-body constraints.
- Ground level degeneracy depends on topology of space.
 S²: One ground state.

- A simple model of topologically protected quantum memory: The toric code (Kitaev, Annals Phys. **303**, 2003, 2-30.)
- Place qubits at edges of square lattice.
- Gapped *H*. Ground states satisfy 4-body constraints.
- Ground level degeneracy depends on topology of space. T^2 : Four ground states differing in \mathbb{Z}_2 holonomies.



- A simple model of topologically protected quantum memory: The toric code (Kitaev, Annals Phys. **303**, 2003, 2-30.)
- Place qubits at edges of square lattice.
- Gapped *H*. Ground states satisfy 4-body constraints.
- Ground level degeneracy depends on topology of space.
 T²: ground states connected only by top. nontrivial operators.



- A simple model of topologically protected quantum memory: The toric code (Kitaev, Annals Phys. **303**, 2003, 2-30.)
- Place qubits at edges of square lattice.

- Gapped *H*. Ground states satisfy 4-body constraints.
- Ground level degeneracy depends on topology of space.
 S²: One ground state.
 - T²: Four ground states differing in \mathbb{Z}_2 holonomies.
 - T^2 : ground states connected only by top. nontrivial operators.
- T^2 : two logical qubits encoded with topological protection.

- A simple model of topologically protected quantum memory: The toric code (Kitaev, Annals Phys. **303**, 2003, 2-30.)
- Place qubits at edges of square lattice.

- Gapped *H*. Ground states satisfy 4-body constraints.
- Ground level degeneracy depends on topology of space.
 S²: One ground state.
 - T²: Four ground states differing in \mathbb{Z}_2 holonomies.
 - T^2 : ground states connected only by top. nontrivial operators.
- T^2 : two logical qubits encoded with topological protection.
- Reinterpretation of \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge theory (Wegner, JMP 12 (1971) 2259.)

• Another topological model: Levin + Wen, PRL 96 (2006) 110405.

- Another topological model: Levin + Wen, PRL 96 (2006) 110405.
- Place qubits at edges of honeycomb lattice.



- Another topological model: Levin + Wen, PRL 96 (2006) 110405.
- Place qubits at edges of honeycomb lattice.
- Dual \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge theory \equiv loop gas model (mark $|1\rangle$'s.)



- Another topological model: Levin + Wen, PRL 96 (2006) 110405.
- Place qubits at edges of honeycomb lattice.
- Dual \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge theory \equiv loop gas model (mark $|1\rangle$'s.)
- Can compute topological entropy easily

(Kitaev + Preskill, PRL **96** (2006) 110404.)

$$S_L^{2d}(\rho) = -\operatorname{Tr}_{N-L}(\rho \log_2 \rho) \sim \alpha L - \gamma + \cdots$$

• Nonzero $S^{\text{top}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\gamma$: characterisation of topological order. Relation to holography (Fendley et al., cond-mat/0609072.)

۲

• MPS: Ansatz for states of 1d quantum systems.

- MPS: Ansatz for states of 1d quantum systems.
- Ancilla construction (original AKLT, Commun. Math. Phys. **115** (1988) 477.) Associate L, R ancilla systems (basis $|\alpha\rangle_{\alpha=1}^{D}$) to each site:

- MPS: Ansatz for states of 1d quantum systems.
- Ancilla construction (original AKLT, Commun. Math. Phys. 115 (1988) 477.) Associate L, R ancilla systems (basis $|\alpha\rangle_{\alpha=1}^{D}$) to each site: Tie neighbouring ancillae with MES $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{D} |\alpha\alpha\rangle$

- MPS: Ansatz for states of 1d quantum systems.
- Ancilla construction (original AKLT, Commun. Math. Phys. **115** (1988) 477.) Associate L, R ancilla systems (basis $|\alpha\rangle_{\alpha=1}^{D}$) to each site: Tie neighbouring ancillae with MES $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{D} |\alpha\alpha\rangle$ Project onto *d*-dim physical site spaces with projectors $A_{\alpha\beta}^{i}$

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_N} \operatorname{Tr} (A^{i_1} \cdots A^{i_N}) |i_1 \cdots i_N\rangle$$

M. Aguado: Topology in quantum states: Many-body ansätze Maynooth, Jan. 6, 2007 - p. 7

- MPS: Ansatz for states of 1d quantum systems.
- Ancilla construction (original AKLT, Commun. Math. Phys. 115 (1988) 477.) Associate L, R ancilla systems (basis $|\alpha\rangle_{\alpha=1}^{D}$) to each site: Tie neighbouring ancillae with MES $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{D} |\alpha\alpha\rangle$ Project onto *d*-dim physical site spaces with projectors $A_{\alpha\beta}^{i}$
- Related to Density Matrix Renormalisation Group (White '92) Algorithm to compute GS energies and correlations.
 White's truncation: keep as much entanglement as possible!
 Variational procedure over the set of MPS.
 Successful for 1d noncritical systems.

• Projected entangled-pair states (PEPS): Ansatz for $d \ge 2$.

(Verstraete + Cirac, Phys. Rev. **A70** (2004) 060302(R).)

Generalise MPS construction to higher dimensions.



- Projected entangled-pair states (PEPS): Ansatz for d ≥ 2.
 (Verstraete + Cirac, Phys. Rev. A70 (2004) 060302(R).)
 Generalise MPS construction to higher dimensions.
- \sim efficient algorithms for energies, correlations...

- Projected entangled-pair states (PEPS): Ansatz for d ≥ 2.
 (Verstraete + Cirac, Phys. Rev. A70 (2004) 060302(R).)
 Generalise MPS construction to higher dimensions.
- \sim efficient algorithms for energies, correlations...
- The toric code as a PEPS: Verstraete et al., PRL 96 (2006) 220601. Projectors (——= $|00\rangle + |11\rangle$, ——= $|00\rangle - |11\rangle$):



- Projected entangled-pair states (PEPS): Ansatz for d ≥ 2.
 (Verstraete + Cirac, Phys. Rev. A70 (2004) 060302(R).)
 Generalise MPS construction to higher dimensions.
- \sim efficient algorithms for energies, correlations...
- The toric code as a PEPS: Verstraete et al., PRL 96 (2006) 220601.
 Imposes plaquette constraints: Kitaev state on S².
 Telling torus states apart demands more ancilla dimensions.

- Projected entangled-pair states (PEPS): Ansatz for d ≥ 2.
 (Verstraete + Cirac, Phys. Rev. A70 (2004) 060302(R).)
 Generalise MPS construction to higher dimensions.
- \sim efficient algorithms for energies, correlations...
- The toric code as a PEPS: Verstraete et al., PRL 96 (2006) 220601.
 Imposes plaquette constraints: Kitaev state on S².
 Telling torus states apart demands more ancilla dimensions.
- New light on origin of S^{top} : $\# \text{configs}(\mathcal{R}) = 2^{\text{vol}(\partial \mathcal{R}) 1}$ in terms of constraints in ancilla space (M.A. + Cirac, w.i.p.)

- Projected entangled-pair states (PEPS): Ansatz for d ≥ 2.
 (Verstraete + Cirac, Phys. Rev. A70 (2004) 060302(R).)
 Generalise MPS construction to higher dimensions.
- \sim efficient algorithms for energies, correlations...
- The toric code as a PEPS: Verstraete et al., PRL 96 (2006) 220601.
 Imposes plaquette constraints: Kitaev state on S².
 Telling torus states apart demands more ancilla dimensions.
- New light on origin of S^{top} : $\# \text{configs}(\mathcal{R}) = 2^{\text{vol}(\partial \mathcal{R})-1}$ in terms of constraints in ancilla space (M.A. + Cirac, w.i.p.)
- Essentially the same construction applies to Levin + Wen.

۲

• MERA: Multi-scale entanglement renormalisation ansatz. Alternative ansatz based on renormalisation group.

(G. Vidal, cond-mat/0512165)

- MERA: Multi-scale entanglement renormalisation ansatz. Alternative ansatz based on renormalisation group.
 (G. Vidal, cond-mat/0512165)
- Idea: real-space RG, White's optimal truncation, and get rid of short-range entanglement before coarse-graining.

- MERA: Multi-scale entanglement renormalisation ansatz. Alternative ansatz based on renormalisation group.
 (G. Vidal, cond-mat/0512165)
- Idea: real-space RG, White's optimal truncation, and get rid of short-range entanglement before coarse-graining.



- MERA: Multi-scale entanglement renormalisation ansatz. Alternative ansatz based on renormalisation group.
 (G. Vidal, cond-mat/0512165)
- Idea: real-space RG, White's optimal truncation, and get rid of short-range entanglement before coarse-graining.



- MERA: Multi-scale entanglement renormalisation ansatz. Alternative ansatz based on renormalisation group.
 (G. Vidal, cond-mat/0512165)
- Idea: real-space RG, White's optimal truncation, and get rid of short-range entanglement before coarse-graining.



- MERA: Multi-scale entanglement renormalisation ansatz. Alternative ansatz based on renormalisation group.
 (G. Vidal, cond-mat/0512165)
- Idea: real-space RG, White's optimal truncation, and get rid of short-range entanglement before coarse-graining.



- MERA: Multi-scale entanglement renormalisation ansatz. Alternative ansatz based on renormalisation group.
 (G. Vidal, cond-mat/0512165)
- Idea: real-space RG, White's optimal truncation, and get rid of short-range entanglement before coarse-graining.
- Information about original state: encoded in RG steps {(\overline{V}) \circ (\overline{U})}_i
 Efficient representation of quantum states.
 Well suited to describe quantum criticality.

•

• The toric code can be written as a 2d MERA.

۲

The toric code can be written as a 2d MERA.
 Underlying reason: it can be locally grown using CNOTs.
 (M.A., G. Vidal in preparation; see Dennis et al., JMP 43 (2002) 4452.)

- The toric code can be written as a 2d MERA.
 Underlying reason: it can be locally grown using CNOTs.
 (M.A., G. Vidal in preparation; see Dennis et al., JMP 43 (2002) 4452.)
- General \mathbb{Z}_2 planar code: can add faces



- The toric code can be written as a 2d MERA.
 Underlying reason: it can be locally grown using CNOTs.
 (M.A., G. Vidal in preparation; see Dennis et al., JMP 43 (2002) 4452.)
- General \mathbb{Z}_2 planar code: can add faces



- The toric code can be written as a 2d MERA.
 Underlying reason: it can be locally grown using CNOTs.
 (M.A., G. Vidal in preparation; see Dennis et al., JMP 43 (2002) 4452.)
- General \mathbb{Z}_2 planar code: can add faces



- The toric code can be written as a 2d MERA.
 Underlying reason: it can be locally grown using CNOTs.
 (M.A., G. Vidal in preparation; see Dennis et al., JMP 43 (2002) 4452.)
- General \mathbb{Z}_2 planar code: can add faces and bonds



- The toric code can be written as a 2d MERA.
 Underlying reason: it can be locally grown using CNOTs.
 (M.A., G. Vidal in preparation; see Dennis et al., JMP 43 (2002) 4452.)
- General \mathbb{Z}_2 planar code: can add faces and bonds



- The toric code can be written as a 2d MERA.
 Underlying reason: it can be locally grown using CNOTs.
 (M.A., G. Vidal in preparation; see Dennis et al., JMP 43 (2002) 4452.)
- General \mathbb{Z}_2 planar code: can add faces and bonds



- The toric code can be written as a 2d MERA.
 Underlying reason: it can be locally grown using CNOTs.
 (M.A., G. Vidal in preparation; see Dennis et al., JMP 43 (2002) 4452.)
- General \mathbb{Z}_2 planar code: can add faces and bonds.
- Sequential doubling of the toric code can be achieved with 2d MERA operators.

- The toric code can be written as a 2d MERA.
 Underlying reason: it can be locally grown using CNOTs.
 (M.A., G. Vidal in preparation; see Dennis et al., JMP 43 (2002) 4452.)
- General \mathbb{Z}_2 planar code: can add faces and bonds.
- Sequential doubling of the toric code can be achieved with 2d MERA operators.
- Intermediate step involves dual of Levin-Wen loop model.

Conclusions

۲

• PEPS shown to describe known topological states. Useful to analyse S_{top} .

• MERA ansatz allows to describe and grow toric codes sequentially.

• Outlook: Characterisation of topological properties in terms of different ansätze. Computation of entanglement entropies for interesting models.