

# Graphene, Index Theorem and Topological Degeneracy

& mminer To Toma Beller

Almar & +

Apy's Network, Liverpool, January 2007

tonto nos lamo nol from musto che fue shore

Comparte lan po 10pp

JKP Michael Stone

cond-mat/0607394



# **Quantum Information, Physics and Topology**

- Encoding and manipulating QI in small physical systems is pledged by decoherence and control errors.
- Error correction can be employed to resolve this problem by using a (huge) overhead of qubits and quantum gates.
- An alternative method is to employ intrinsically error protected systems such as topological ones => properties are described by integer numbers! protected by macroscopic properties: hard to destroy.
- E.g. you can employ system with **degenerate ground states**:
  - Make sure degeneracy is protected by topological properties (V)
  - Make sure degenerate states are locally indistinguishable (X)
  - Encode information in these degenerate levels

TOPOLOGICAL DEGENERACY

# **Overview**

- **Graphene:** two dimensional layer of graphite –honeycomb lattice of C atoms
  - Fullerene: C60, C70
  - Nanotubes

g=0

- Conducting properties of these materials: zero energy modes.
- Can be used as miniaturized elements of circuits.
- Index theorem (Atiyah-Singer)
  - Smooth, orientable, compact, Riemannian manifolds, M, with genus, g.
  - Define elliptic operator **D** on M. Includes curvature and gauge fields.
  - The index theorem relates the number of zero energy modes ρf D with g.

Euler

characteristic

hs)

"real" fe

g=2

deg.

- Conductivity can depend on topology.
- Zero modes provide degeneracy of ground state: G
  - Topological quantum computation

q=1

- Kitaev's toric code
- Honeycomb lattice (same as graphene, b

## **Different geometries of Graphene**

Fullerene (C60):



Nanotubes:











#### **Graphene: structure**



#### **Graphene: structure**

 $\vec{k} = \vec{K} + \vec{p}$ 

$$E(\vec{k}) = \pm t\sqrt{3 + 2\cos\vec{k}\cdot\vec{u} + 2\cos\vec{k}\cdot\vec{v} + 2\cos\vec{k}\cdot(\vec{u}-\vec{v})}$$

Linearise energy  $E(\vec{k})$  around a conical point,

$$H_{\vec{p}} \approx \pm \frac{3t}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p_x + ip_y \\ p_x - ip_y & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \pm \frac{3t}{2} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p}$$

Relativistic Dirac equation at the tip of a pencil!

Two types of spinors:

$$\begin{pmatrix} |K_{+},A\rangle \\ |K_{+},B\rangle \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} |K_{-},A\rangle \\ |K_{-},B\rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

 $K_{\pm}$  are the Fermi points and A and B are the two triangular sub-lattices Note:  $\sigma^{z}$  rotation maps to states with the same energy, but opposite momenta



## **Graphene: curvature**

To introduce curvature:

cut  $\pi/3$  sector and reconnect sites. This creates a single **pentagon** with no other deformations present.

Results in a **conical configuration**.

To preserve continuity of the spinor field when circulating the pentagon one can introduce two additional fields:

-Spin connection Q:

-Spin connection Q:  
•Non-abelian gauge field, A: 
$$\oint Q_{\mu}dx^{\mu} = -\frac{\pi}{6}\sigma^{\mu}$$

 $\pi$ 



Mixes A and B components

Mixes + and – spinors

Resulting 4x4 Dirac equation can be decoupled by \_\_\_\_\_ elliptic operator simple rotation to a pair of  $2x^2$  Dirac equations (k=1,2):

$$\frac{3t}{2} \sum_{\mu} \gamma^{\mu} (p_{\mu} - iQ_{\mu} - iA_{\mu}^{k}) \psi^{k} = E \psi^{k} \qquad \oint A_{\mu}^{k} dx^{\mu} = \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$$

#### **Graphene: curvature**

$$\frac{3t}{2} \sum_{a,\mu} \gamma^{\mu} (p_{\mu} - iQ_{\mu} - iA_{\mu}^{k}) \psi^{k} = E\psi^{k}$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}^{k} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu}^{k} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}^{k}$$

$$\gamma^{\mu} = e_{a}^{\mu}\gamma^{a}, \ g^{\mu\nu} = e_{a}^{\mu}e_{b}^{\nu}\eta^{ab}$$

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\sigma} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\sigma\rho} (\partial_{\mu}g_{\nu\rho} + \partial_{\nu}g_{\mu\rho} - \partial_{\rho}g_{\mu\nu})$$

$$R_{\nu\rho\sigma}^{\mu} = \partial_{\sigma}\Gamma_{\nu\rho}^{\mu} - \partial_{\rho}\Gamma_{\nu\sigma}^{\mu} + \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^{\lambda}\Gamma_{\lambda\sigma}^{\mu} - \Gamma_{\nu\sigma}^{\lambda}\Gamma_{\lambda\rho}^{\mu}$$

$$R_{\mu\nu}^{\mu} = R_{\mu\nu\rho}^{\rho}, \ R = g^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu}$$

**Continuous limit**: Small energies => large wavelengths => insensitive to lattice spacing, conical singularity,...



Consider operators, 
$$P, P^+$$
  $V_+ \xrightarrow{P} V_-, V_- \xrightarrow{P^+} V_+$   
For  $\lambda \neq 0$ ,  $P^+Pu = \lambda u \Rightarrow (PP^+)Pu = \lambda Pu$   
Define  
(Dirac op.)  $D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P^+ \\ P & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $D^2 = \begin{pmatrix} P^+P & \text{non-zero modes come in pairs} \\ 0 & PP^+ \end{pmatrix}$   
Define operator:  $\gamma_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  with eigenvalues +1, -1 for  $V_+, V_-$   
Consider  $V_-V_-$  the dimension of the pull subspace of  $V_-V_-$ 

Consider  $V_+, V_-$  the dimension of the **null** subspace of  $V_+, V_-$ 

Then 
$$Tr(\gamma_5 e^{-tD^2}) = \sum_{Sp(P^+P)} e^{-t\lambda^2} - \sum_{Sp(PP^+)} e^{-t\lambda^2} = v_+ - v_- \equiv \text{index}(D)$$

Non-zero eigenvalues cancel in pairs.

Expression is t independent.

D can describe a general 2-dimensional **Dirac** operator defined over a **compact** surface coupled with a gauge field.

One can evaluate that 
$$D^2 = -g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu} + \frac{i}{4}[\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}]F_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}R$$
  
Metric Covariant derivative Gauge field Curvature scalar  
Heat kernel expansion  
 $Tr(fe^{-tD}) = \frac{1}{4\pi t}\sum_{k\geq 0} t^{k/2}a_k(f, D)$ 

For  $f = \gamma_5$ ,  $D = D^2$  the only non-zero coefficient is

$$a_2 = Tr\left\{\gamma_5\left(\frac{i}{4}[\gamma^{\mu},\gamma^{\nu}]F_{\mu\nu}-\frac{1}{4}R\right)\right\} = 2\iint F \Longrightarrow Tr(\gamma_5 e^{-tD^2}) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\iint F$$

We have

Also  

$$Tr(\gamma_{5}e^{-t\mathbb{D}^{2}}) = \sum_{Sp(P^{+}P)} e^{-t\lambda^{2}} - \sum_{Sp(PP^{+})} e^{-t\lambda^{2}} = v_{+} - v_{-} \equiv \operatorname{index}(\mathbb{D})$$

$$Tr(\gamma_{5}e^{-t\mathbb{D}^{2}}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint F_{xy}d^{2}x$$

If *D* is defined on compact manifold then RHS is an integer (topological invariant), due to the quantization condition of the Dirac monopoles charge. Thus, the number of zero modes depends on the gauge field configuration.

Continuous deformations of the gauge field will not change the number of zero modes.

Surface **curvature** does not appear in the above result (only in 2-dims).

The Index theorem states:

index(D) = 
$$v_{+} - v_{-} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint F$$

integer!

The integral is taken over the whole compact surface.

For **compact manifolds** the term on the r. h. s. is an **integer**. It is a **topological number**: small deformations does not change its value.

Open boundary conditions can give a discrepancy caused by boundary terms.

From this theorem you can obtain the **least number of zero modes**. The exact number is obtained if  $V_+$  or  $V_-$  is equal to zero.

[Atiyah and Singer, Ann. of Math. 87, 485 (1968);...]

### **Index Theorem: Euler characteristic**



Consider folding of graphene in a compact manifold. The **minimal** violation is obtained by insertion of **pentagons** or **heptagons** that contribute positive or negative curvature respectively. Consider

- $n_5$  number of pentagons
- $n_6$  number of hexagons
- $n_7$  number of heptagons

From the Euler characteristic formula:

$$n_5 - n_7 = 12(1 - g)$$

 $V = (5n_5 + 6n_6 + 7n_7)/3$  $E = (5n_5 + 6n_6 + 7n_7)/2$  $F = n_5 + n_6 + n_7$ 

Fullerenes:  $g = 0 \Longrightarrow n_5 = 12$ "Nanotubes":  $g = 1 \Longrightarrow n_5 - n_7 = 0$ 

#### **Index Theorem: Graphene application**

$$\iint F = \oint A \qquad \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \pm \frac{\pi}{2} \right) (n_5 - n_7) = \pm 3(1 - g)$$
  
Stokes's theorem  
$$\inf \det(D) = v_+ - v_- = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint F$$

Thus, one obtains:

$$v_{+} - v_{-} = \begin{cases} 3(1-g), \text{ for } k = 1\\ -3(1-g), \text{ for } k = 2 \end{cases}$$

Least number of zero modes:

$$6|1-g|$$

#### **Index Theorem: Graphene application**



[J. Gonzalez et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 172 (1992)]

## **Ultra-cold Fermi atoms and optical lattices**

Single species ultra cold **Fermi atoms** superposed by **optical lattices** that form a hexagonal lattice.

[Duan et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 090402 (2003)]

- Very low temperatures: T~0.1TF
- Arbitrary filling factors: e.g. 1/2

See dependence of conductivity on **disorder**, **impurities** and lattice **defects**: e.g. insert pentagons at the edge of the lattice of effect of empty sites.

Similar **index theorem** can be devised for open boundary conditions.

**Measurement** of conductivity in Fermi lattices has already been performed in the laboratory: [Ott *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 160601 (2004)]





# Conclusions

- **Index Theorem** for compactified graphene sheets.
- Agrees well with known models of **fullerenes** and **nanotubes**.
- Gives conductivity properties for **higher genus models**: sideways connected nanotubes.
- Predicts **stability** of spectrum under small deformations.
- Relate to **topological models**:
  - obtain topologically related degeneracy:  $2^{6|1-g|}$
  - encode and manipulate quantum information.
  - apply reverse engineering to find new models with specific degeneracy properties.
- Related experiments with **ultra-cold Fermi atoms** can give insight to the properties of graphene. May be easier to implement than solid state setup.

[cond-mat/0607394]

Thank you for your attention!

Postdoc positions at University of Leeds on Topological QC available now!

Email: j.k.pachos@leeds.ac.uk