

Lorentz transformations

In relativity time and 3-dimensional space are in a sense combined into 4-dimensional space-time. Ordinary 3-dimensional vectors become vectors in a 4-dimensional “space”, with time as the extra dimension. Physical quantities are described relative to an inertial reference frame with a specified inertial co-ordinate system related to a time axis and three spatial axes. All 4-vectors can then be decomposed in this co-ordinate system into a time-like component (the component along the chosen time-axis) and a relativistic 3-vector (consisting of the 3 spatial components in the chosen frame). For example time, t , becomes a co-ordinate in space-time, along with the usual three Cartesian co-ordinates, x , y and z , and all 4 are combined into a space-time position vector $\underline{X} = (ct, x, y, z)$. The components of a 4-vector are often written using indices, $a, b, c, \dots = 0, 1, 2, 3$. For example \underline{X} has components X^a with the super-script 0 representing the time-like component, thus the components of the 4-vector \underline{z} are $X^0 = ct$, $X^1 = x$, $X^2 = y$ and $X^3 = z$. For a massive particle moving with speed v the energy E and the non-relativistic 3-momentum \mathbf{p} are combined into the 4-momentum, $\underline{P} = (\frac{E}{c}, \mathbf{P})$ where $cP^0 = E = \gamma(v)mc^2$ is the relativistic energy and $\mathbf{P} = \gamma(v)m\mathbf{v} = \gamma(v)\mathbf{p}$ is the relativistic 3-momentum.

Transformations between inertial co-ordinate systems are implemented by rotations and/or Lorentz transformations — the latter corresponding to switching between inertial reference frames that are moving with constant velocity relative to one another. For example boosting¹ from an inertial reference frame with 4-dimensional Cartesian co-ordinates X^a to another inertial reference frame, moving with constant speed v in the $x = X^1$ direction relative to the first, we are free to choose Cartesian co-ordinates $X^{a'}$ in the latter frame such that $y' = y$ and $z' = z$. The Lorentz transformation between (ct', x') and (ct, x) in this case is

$$\begin{aligned} t' &= \gamma(V) \left(t - \frac{V}{c^2}x \right) \\ x' &= \gamma(V) (x - Vt) \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma(V) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{V^2}{c^2}}}$ is the Lorentz γ -factor. A more succinct way of writing this is to use a matrix notation

$$\begin{pmatrix} ct' \\ x' \end{pmatrix} = \gamma(V) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{V}{c} \\ -\frac{V}{c} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} ct \\ x \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

A neater formula is obtained in terms of the *rapidity*, $\alpha(V)$, defined by

$$\frac{V}{c} = \tanh \alpha = \frac{e^\alpha - e^{-\alpha}}{e^\alpha + e^{-\alpha}},$$

with $-\infty < \alpha < \infty$ for $-1 < \frac{V}{c} < 1$. Then $\gamma(V) = \cosh \alpha = \frac{1}{2}(e^\alpha + e^{-\alpha})$ and $\gamma(V)\frac{V}{c} = \sinh \alpha = \frac{1}{2}(e^\alpha - e^{-\alpha})$ so, using the X^a and $X^{a'}$ notation

$$\begin{pmatrix} X^{0'} \\ X^{1'} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \alpha & -\sinh \alpha \\ -\sinh \alpha & \cosh \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X^0 \\ X^1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2).$$

¹ An unfortunate name, as no actual acceleration is involved. Neither of the two reference frames is accelerating, they are moving with constant velocity relative to one another

We can choose $\alpha < 0$, and hence $V < 0$, to describe boosting to a reference frame moving in the negative x -direction relative to the original frame.

Note the similarity, and the obvious differences, between equation (2) for a *boost* in the x -direction and the formula describing the change in co-ordinates brought about by a *rotation* about the x -axis through an angle ϕ , which only affects the y and z co-ordinates leaving t and z unchanged,

$$\begin{pmatrix} y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ z \end{pmatrix}.$$

Just as for electron spin we can simplify the description of rotations by using a (necessarily complex)² variable $y_{\pm} := y \pm iz$ with $y_- = \bar{y}_+$, so that, under a rotation,

$$y_{\pm} \longrightarrow e^{\pm i\phi} y_{\pm}.$$

We can also simplify the description of Lorentz transformations by defining $X_{\pm} = X^1 \mp X^0$ so that, under a boost,

$$X_{\pm} \longrightarrow e^{\pm\alpha} X_{\pm}.$$

The same transformations apply to the components of any 4-vector. For the 4-momentum \underline{P} , for example, a boost in the z -direction gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} P^{0'} \\ P^{1'} \\ P^{2'} \\ P^{3'} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \alpha & -\sinh \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ -\sinh \alpha & \cosh \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P^0 \\ P^1 \\ P^2 \\ P^3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma(V) & -\frac{V}{c}\gamma(V) & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{V}{c}\gamma(V) & \gamma(V) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P^0 \\ P^1 \\ P^2 \\ P^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

A particle at rest in the X^a frame has rest energy $cP^0 = mc^2$, while $P^1 = P^2 = P^3 = 0$. In the boosted reference frame $cP^{0'}$ is the relativistic energy for a particle with rest mass m moving with speed V , while $P^{1'}$ is the only non-zero component of the relativistic 3-momentum in the moving frame.³

A very important aspect of Lorentz transformations is that, just like rotations, they leave the length of a vector invariant, provided the “length” of a 4-vector is properly defined. In relativity, just like in ordinary 3-dimensional Euclidean space, the length of a vector is related to the dot product, with the twist that the dot product of two 4-vectors, or of a 4-vector with itself, involves a minus sign for the time-like component, for example

$$\underline{X} \cdot \underline{X} = -(X^0)^2 + (X^1)^2 + (X^2)^2 + (X^3)^2$$

or, in terms of X^{\pm} ,

$$\underline{X} \cdot \underline{X} = X^+ X^- + (X^1)^2 + (X^2)^2 + (X^3)^2$$

² This is nothing more than a notational convenience however, the vector \underline{X} is intrinsically real, unlike quantum mechanics vector spaces which are intrinsically complex.

³ The minus sign is due to the convention that $X^{a'}$ represent a frame moving in the positive x -direction relative to X^a . So, if the particle is at rest in X^a , it is moving in the negative X' -direction in $X^{a'}$.

is Lorentz invariant. So a photon, leaving the origin at $t = 0$ and moving in the direction of the x -axis for example, has $ct = x$, $y = z = 0$ and

$$\underline{X} \cdot \underline{X} = -c^2 t^2 + x^2 = 0.$$

An electron at rest at the origin, $x = y = z = 0$, must move through time, $X^0 = ct$, so

$$\underline{X} \cdot \underline{X} = -c^2 t^2 < 0$$

and the length squared of its 4-dimensional position vector is negative, \underline{X} is a time-like vector.

Similarly for the 4-momentum

$$\underline{P} \cdot \underline{P} = -(P^0)^2 + (P^1)^2 + (P^2)^2 + (P^3)^2 = -m^2 c^2$$

has the same value in all inertial reference frames. You can check for yourself that we get the same answer regardless of whether we use the components of \underline{P} in the un-primed frame, P^a , or in the primed frame $P^{a'}$. In any frame

$$\underline{P} \cdot \underline{P} = -\frac{E^2}{c^2} + \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{P} = -m^2 c^2,$$

where $\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{P} = (P^1)^2 + (P^2)^2 + (P^3)^2 = P^2$ is just the ordinary dot product in 3-dimensions. Hence we get the general formula

$$E^2 = m^2 c^4 + c^2 P^2 \tag{3}$$

relating energy to relativistic 3-momentum for a massive particle moving with speed v .

The energy is a minimum in the *rest frame* of the particle, *i.e.* a reference frame in which it is not moving and hence has zero 3-momentum, so

$$E = mc^2.$$