Efficient descriptions of many-body systems using tensor network states



Maynooth University, October 14th, 2014





PHYSICAL SYSTEM



- Dynamics
- Thermal equilibrium (T)

Computation time/memory scales exponentially with the number of constituents



MANY-BODY QUANTUM SYSTEM



MODEL



HILBERT SPACE



 $c_1 \,|\, 00..0\rangle + c_2 \,|\, 00..1\rangle + ..+ c_{2^N} \,|\, 11..1\rangle$



MANY-BODY QUANTUM SYSTEM



MODEL



HILBERT SPACE



 $c_1 \mid 00..0 \rangle + c_2 \mid 00..1 \rangle + .. + c_{2^N} \mid 11..1 \rangle$

HOWEVER:

- Interactions in nature are very special: few-body Hamiltonians, local
- Physical states occupy a small corner of Hilbert space
- Tensor networks: efficient description of that corner





 PEPS provide efficient descriptions of states with local interactions and in thermal equilibrium

$$D = poly(N)$$





A. Molnar, N. Schuch, F. Verstraete, IC, arXiv:1406.2973

PEPS provide simple descriptions of complex many-body states





Chiral topological models:
 T. Wahl, S. Yang, H.H. Tu (MPQ), N. Schuch (Aachen)



PROJECTED ENTANGLED PAIR STATES (PEPS)



SPIN LATTICES

MPQ

• Spins on a lattice in 2D at zero temperature:



- ${\ensuremath{\,\bullet\,}}$ Many-body state: $|\,\Psi\rangle$
- Parent Hamiltonian (local)

$$H | \Psi \rangle = E_0 | \Psi \rangle$$





Entanglement swapping







PROJECTED ENTANGLED-PAIR STATES







PROJECTED ENTANGLED-PAIR STATES

MPQ









CYLINDER





PROJECTED-ENTANGLED PAIR STATES







"PARENT" HAMILTONIANS





 $H \mid \Psi \rangle = E_0 \mid \Psi \rangle$

• Local:
$$H = \sum_{n} h_{n}$$

• Frustration-free: $h_n |\Psi\rangle = 0$

• Degeneracy: g



PROJECTED-ENTANGLED PAIR STATES







PEPS give a natural playground to investigate many-body systems

Bulk-boundary correspondence in lattice systems at T=0:

T. Walh, H.H. Tu, S. Yang (MPQ),

N. Schuch (Aachen), D. Perez-Garcia (Madrid), D. Poilblanc (Tolouse)+ F. Verstraete (Vienna)



Related work: Dubail, Read, Rezayi Qi, Katsura, and Ludwig Chen, Gu, Wen



SPIN LATTICES

MPQ

• Spins on a lattice in 2D at zero temperature:



- ${\mbox{ \ \ \ }}$ Many-body state: $|\Psi\rangle$
- Parent Hamiltonian (local) $H \mid \Psi \rangle = E_0 \mid \Psi \rangle$
- Reduced state in region A: $\rho_{A} = \operatorname{tr}_{B} \left[|\Psi\rangle \langle \Psi| \right]$



SPIN LATTICES

1PQ

- Area law: (Srednicky 93):
 - $S(\rho_A) \prec N_{\partial A}$

degrees of freedom \prec # particles at boundary



• Entanglement spectrum: (Li and Haldane, 2008; Peschel, Kitaev and Preskill):

$$\rho_A = e^{-H_A} \qquad \qquad \sigma(H_A)$$

The low energy sector has the same structure as that for a lower dimensional theory (edge states)



- THE (REDUCED) STATE OF THE BULK CAN BE DESCRIBED BY A LOWER DIMENSIONAL THEORY
- THAT THEORY IS SOMEHOW RELATED TO THE BOUNDARY OF THE REGION







QUESTIONS:

- What is that theory? Where does it act?
- Is the Hamiltonian H_A local?
- What are the symmetries of H_A , and how are they related to those of Ψ ?
- How does the topological character of Ψ manisfest itself?
- What happens at quantum phase transitions?
- Is there any relation to a dynamical Hamiltonian?
- What is the relation to chiral edges for topological insulators?







- Approximate well ground states (of gapped phases)
- Fulfill the area law
- There exist numerical techniques

PEPS give a natural playground to investigate this subject

PROJECTED ENTANGLED-PAIR STATES BULK-BOUNDARY CORRESPONDENCE

IC, Poilblanc, Schuch, and Verstraete, PRB 83, 245134 (2011)



$$\sigma_{a} = tr_{A} \left(|\Psi_{Aa}\rangle \langle \Psi_{Aa} | \right)$$

$$\sigma_{b} = tr_{B} \left(|\Psi_{Bb}\rangle \langle \Psi_{Bb} | \right)$$

$$\rho_{A} = tr_{B} \left(|\Psi\rangle \langle \Psi | \right) = U\sigma_{\partial A}U^{\dagger}$$

$$\sigma_{\partial A} = \sqrt{\sigma_{a}^{T}} \sigma_{b} \sqrt{\sigma_{a}^{T}}$$

 $|\Psi\rangle$





 $|\Psi
angle$



- The theory corresponds to the auxiliary particles living in the boundary
- Isommetry between the spins in the bulk and the auxiliary ones in the boundary

 ρ_A

$$\sigma_{\partial A} = U^{\dagger} \rho_A U$$
 (isommetry)

- It "compresses" the degrees of freedom
- Implies area law
- Allows to determine expectation values in the boundary

$$x_{\partial A} = U^{\dagger} X_{A} U \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \operatorname{tr}(\sigma_{\partial A} x_{\partial A}) = \operatorname{tr}(\rho_{A} X_{A})$$



- The theory corresponds to the auxiliary particles living in the boundary
- Isommetry between the spins in the bulk and the auxiliary ones in the boundary

BOUNDARY HAMILTONIAN

$$\sigma_{\partial A} = e^{-H_{\partial A}}$$

- Has the same entanglement spectrum $\sigma(H_{\partial A}) = \sigma(H_A)$
- It can be easily determined (exactly or approximately)





 $\sigma_{\partial A} = e^{-H_{\partial A}}$

What can we say starting from the boundary Hamiltonian? (beyond the entanglement spectrum)

Other approaches: Qi, Katsura, and Ludwig, 2012, Dubail, Read, and Rezayi, 2012

PROJECTED ENTANGLED-PAIR STATES BULK-BOUNDARY CORRESPONDENCE

Results:



• Symmetries: The boundary Hamiltonian inherits the symmetries

$$u_g |\Psi\rangle = e^{i\theta_g} |\Psi\rangle \implies U_g H_{\partial A} U_g^{\dagger} = H_{\partial A}$$

• Locality:

- For gapped systems, it is local
- For critical systems, it becomes non-local
- Quantum phase transitions:

-They are reflected in the boundary Hamiltonian



BULK-BOUNDARY CORRESPONDENCE TOPOLOGICAL PHASES



Schuch, Poilblanc, IC, Perez-Garcia, PRL 111, 090501 (2013)

Gapped topological phases in 2D



PROPERTIES

- Boundary state
- Boundary Hamiltonian

EXAMPLES

- Toric code (Kitaev)
- RVB states
- Phase transitions



Topological properties are reflected in symmetries of the virtual particles:





BULK-BOUNDARY CORRESPONDENCE TOPOLOGICAL PHASES



- Results:
 - The boundary theory develops an extra symmetry

$$\sigma_{\partial A} = U_{g} \sigma_{\partial A} = \sigma_{\partial A} U_{g}^{\dagger}$$

- In general, the boundary operator is block diagonal $\sigma_{\partial A} = \sigma_{\partial A}^1 \oplus \sigma_{\partial A}^2 \oplus ...$
- The projector, P_i , on each subspace is higly non-local
- The boundary Hamiltonian splits

$$H_{\partial A} = H_{\partial A}^{\text{topo}} + H_{\partial A}^{\text{non-universal}}$$

- $H_{\partial A}^{\text{topo}}$ is universal (only depends on the boundary conditions): $H_{\partial A}^{\text{topo}} = \bigoplus c_i P_i$
- $H_{\partial A}^{\text{non-universal}}$ is local and depends on the details of the state (but not on the boundary conditions)
- Phase transition
 - $H_{\partial A}^{\text{non-universal}}$ becomes non-local
 - It can eventually compensate the universal part $H_{\partial A}^{
 m topo}$

• Chiral topological models:

T. Wahl, S. Yang, H.H. Tu (MPQ), N. Schuch (Aachen)



See also: Dubail and Read



FERMIONS

Kraus, Schuch, Verstraete, IC, Phys. Rev. A 81, 052338 (2010)



Fermionic
modeMajorana
$$\searrow$$
 \searrow ••• \checkmark \checkmark ••• $\langle \phi | \Psi \rangle = | \Psi' \rangle$







Topological properties are reflected in symmetries of the virtual particles:





"GAUGE" SYMMETRIES STRINGS







 $|\Psi_{\Box}\rangle = \langle \phi_{ab} | S | \Psi_{Aa}\rangle | \Psi_{Bb}\rangle$

$$S = \sum x_{n,\alpha} c_{n,\alpha}$$







$$S \mid \Psi_{N_h, N_v} \rangle = \sum x_{n\alpha} c_{n,\alpha} \mid \Psi_{N_h, N_v} \rangle = 0$$



- States with strings along contractible regions vanish
- Strings wrapping up the cylinder can be deformed and moved

Strings in topological models: degeneracy, anyons, brading, N. Schuch, IC, D. Perez-Garcia, Annals of Physics 325, 2153 (2010) CHIRAL FERMIONIC QUASI-FREE PEPS





• Fiducial state:

•••
$$|\Psi_1\rangle = (1 + a^{\dagger}b^{\dagger})|\Omega\rangle$$

 $b = (c_L - ic_R)e^{i\pi/4} + c_U - ic_D$

Is a topological superconductor (class D, p+ip)

Schnyder et al, Kitaev, Altland and Zirnbauer

• "Gauge" symmetry:

$$d | \Psi_1 \rangle = 0$$

$$d = (c_L - ic_R)e^{i\pi/4} + c_U - ic_D$$





BOUNDARY THEORY





- Chiral modes
- Right boundary is entangled to the left boundary
- Zeroth Renyi entropy: topological correction:

 $S_0(N_v) = aN_v - \log(2)$

This is a consequence of the symmetry

$$\left[\sum x_{n,\alpha}c_{n,\alpha}^{L} + \sum y_{n,\alpha}c_{n,\alpha}^{R}\right] |\Phi_{N_{\nu}}\rangle = 0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad d\sigma_{LR} = \sigma_{LR}d = 0$$





PARENT HAMILTONIAN: LOCAL



- Degenerate on the torus
- Continuous spectrum (therm. Limit)
- Power-law correlation functions





PARENT HAMILTONIAN: LOCAL



• It is at a phase transition



- Degenerate on the torus
- Continuous spectrum (therm. Limit)
- Power-law correlation functions





PARENT HAMILTONIAN: GAPPED

- Flat band Hamiltonian
- Long-range hoppings

 $h_{n,m} \approx 1/|n-m|^3$

- Robust against local perturbations
- Chern number

$$C = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\text{BZ}} d^2 k \, \hat{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{k})}{\partial k_x} \times \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{k})}{\partial k_y} \right)$$

It agrees with the boundary theory







SUMMARY

- Family of FGPEPS
- Smallest bond dimension: one majorana "mode" per bond
- Chiral:
 - Chiral edge modes
 - Gapped Parent Hamiltonian (1/r³ hopping)
 - Robust against perturbations
 - Chern superconductor
 - c=1/2 and symmetry class D (like p+ip superconductor)
- Topological at a phase transition:
 - Gapless local Hamiltonian
 - Degeneracy depends on topology
 - String operators describe the states
 - Left and right boundaries are entangled

CHIRAL FERMIONIC INTERACTING PEPS



MPQ

IDEA

H.H. Tu, Phys. Rev. B 87, 041103(R) (2013)

 $|\Psi\rangle = \prod_{n} \mathbf{P}_{n}^{Gutz} |\Phi, \Phi\rangle$ $\downarrow p + ip$ superconductor Gutzwiller projector



Four primary fields with h=0,1/8,1/8,1/2

• Replace p+ip by the chiral FGPEPS:

- Two copies: two Majorana =1 Fermion mode per bound
- The Gutzwiller projector does not change the bond dimension



CASE STUDY 2 INTERACTING CHIRAL STATES

MPQ

SYMMETRIES

• The state develops a new "gauge" symmetry:



• The symmetry is inherited for larger regions: It corresponds to a flux, akin to the toric code.







BOUNDARY THEORY

• Entanglement Entropy:





CASE STUDY 2 INTERACTING CHIRAL STATES



BOUNDARY THEORY

• Entanglement spectrum:



- There are four sectors (MES)
- Degeneracy according to $SO(2)_1$



CASE STUDY 2 INTERACTING CHIRAL STATES



BOUNDARY THEORY

• Entanglement spectrum:



Consistent with *h*=0,1/8,1/8,1/2





TRANSFER MATRIX

• Gap in the transfer matrix gives correlation length:



Consistent with infinite correlation length





- PEPS can describe chiral phases
- Non-interacting and interacting systems
- Can they describe ground states of gapped local Hamiltonians?

Finite temperature:





