Measurement-Only Topological Quantum Computation

Parsa Bonderson Microsoft Station Q DIAS Theoretical Physics Seminar August 21, 2008

work done in collaboration with: Mike Freedman and Chetan Nayak arXiv:0802.0279 (PRL '08) and arXiv:0808.1933

Introduction

- Non-Abelian anyons probably exist in certain gapped two dimensional systems:
 - Fractional Quantum Hall Effect (v=5/2, 12/5, ...?)
 - ruthenates, topological insulators, rapidly rotating bose condensates, quantum loop gases/string nets?
- They could have application in quantum computation, providing naturally ("topologically protected") fault-tolerant hardware.
- Assuming we have them at our disposal, what operations are necessary to implement topological quantum computation?

Anyon Models

(unitary braided tensor categories) Describe quasiparticle braiding statistics in gapped two dimensional systems.

Finite set \mathcal{C} of anyonic charges: a, b, c...

Unique "vacuum" charge, denoted *I* has trivial fusion and braiding with all particles.

Fusion rules:
$$a \times b = \sum_{c} N_{ab}^{c} c$$

Fusion multiplicities N_{ab}^c are integers specifying the dimension of the fusion and splitting spaces V_{ab}^c , V_c^{ab}

Hilbert space construct from state vectors associated with fusion/splitting channels of anyons.

Expressed diagrammatically:



Inner product:



Associativity of fusing/splitting more than two anyons is specified by the unitary F-moves:



Braiding



Can be non-Abelian if there are multiple fusion channels c

$$|\Psi_{\alpha}\rangle\mapsto U_{\alpha\beta}[R]|\Psi_{\beta}\rangle$$

Ising anyons or $SU(2)_2$

- $-\nu = \frac{5}{2}$ FQH (Moore-Read `91)
- $v = \frac{12}{5}$ and other 2LL FQH?(PB and Slingerlan d`07)
- Kitaev honey comb, topological insulators, ruthenates?

Particle types: I, σ, ψ (a.k.a. $0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$) Fusion rules :



Fibonacci any ons or $SO(3)_3$

 $-\nu = \frac{12}{5}$ FQH? (Read - Rezayi`98)

- string nets? (Levin - Wen `04, Fendley et.al. `08)

Particle types: I, ε (a.k.a. 0, 1) Fusion rules :



(Kitaev, Preskill, Freedman, Larsen, Wang)



Topological Protection!

Ising: $a = \sigma, c_0 = I, c_1 = \psi$

Fib:
$$a = \varepsilon, c_0 = I, c_1 = \varepsilon$$

(Kitaev, Preskill, Freedman, Larsen, Wang)



Is braiding computationally universal?

Ising: not quite (must be supplemented)

Fib: yes!

(Kitaev, Preskill, Freedman, Larsen, Wang)



 $\neg = \leftrightarrow$ Topological Charge Measurement

Topological Charge Measurement

Projective (von Neumann) e.g. loop operator measurements in lattice models, energy splitting measurement

Topological Charge Measurement

Interferometric (PB, Shtengel, Slingerland `07) e.g. 2PC FQH, and Anyonic Mach-Zehnder (idealized, <u>not</u> FQH)



Asymptotically characterized as projection of the target's anyonic charge AND decoherence of anyonic charge entanglement between the interior and exterior of the target region. (more later; ignore for now)

Anyonic State Teleportation

(for projective measurement)

Entanglement Resource: maximally entangled anyon pair

$$\left| \overline{a}, a; I \right\rangle = \underbrace{\overline{a}}_{a} \overset{a}{}$$
Want to teleport: $\left| \psi \right\rangle = \underbrace{\psi}_{a} \overset{a}{}$
Form: $\left| \psi \right\rangle_{1} \left| \overline{a}, a; I \right\rangle_{23} = \underbrace{\psi}_{a} \overset{a}{} \underbrace{\psi}_{a}$

and perform Forced Measurement on anyons 12

Anyonic State Teleportation



Anyonic State Teleportation

Forced Measurement (projective)

 $\breve{\Pi}_{I}^{(12)}$:





What good is this if we want to braid computational anyons?















 \overline{a}

a



Measurement Simulated Braiding!



in FQH, for example



in FQH, for example



in FQH, for example





Measurement-Only Topological Quantum Computation







 $|0\rangle$

Topological Charge Measurement



Topological Charge Measurement

Interferometric (PB, Shtengel, Slingerland `07) e.g. 2PC FQH, and Anyonic Mach-Zehnder (idealized, <u>not</u> FQH)



Asymptotically characterized as projection of the target's anyonic charge AND decoherence of anyonic charge entanglement between the interior and exterior of the target region.

Interferometrical Decoherence
of Anyonic Charge Entanglement
$$\rho = |a,b;c\rangle\langle a,b;c| = a \land c \land b$$

For a inside the interferometer and b outside:

$$D_{\text{int}}: \rho \mapsto \left. \begin{array}{c} a \\ c \\ b \end{array} \right|^{b} = \sum_{c} \left. \begin{array}{c} a \\ c \\ c \\ c \\ c \\ c \\ b \\ c \\ b \end{array} \right|^{b}$$

Interferometrical Decoherence Ising:



Interferometrical Decoherence Fibonacci:



Measurement Generated Braiding!

Using Interferometric Measurements is similar but more complicated, requiring the density matrix description.

The resulting "forced measurement" procedure must include an additional measurement (of 8 or fewer anyons, i.e. still bounded size) in each teleportation attempt to ensure the overall charge of the topological qubits being acted upon remains trivial.

Note: For the Ising model TQC qubits, interferometric measurements <u>are</u> projective.

Ising

Fibonacci

(in FQH)

VS

- Braiding not universal (needs one gate supplement)
 - $\Delta_{v=5/2} \sim 600 \text{ mK}$

Braids = Natural gates (braiding = Clifford group)

No leakage from braiding

- Projective MOTQC (2 anyon measurements)
- Measurement difficulty distinguishing I and ψ (precise phase calibration)



- Braiding is universal
- $\Delta_{v=12/5} \sim 70 \text{ mK}$
- Braids = Unnatural gates (see Bonesteel, et. al.)
- Inherent leakage errors (from entangling gates)
- Interferometrical MOTQC (2,4,8 anyon measurements)
- **C** Robust measurement distinguishing I and ε (amplitude of interference)

Conclusion

- Quantum state teleportation and entanglement resources have anyonic counterparts.
- Bounded, adaptive, non-demolitional measurements can generate the braiding transformations used in TQC.
- Stationary computational anyons hopefully makes life easier for experimental realization.
- Experimental realization of FQH double pointcontact interferometers is at hand.