# Quantum Phases of a Supersymmetric Model of Lattice Fermions



Liza Huijse University of Amsterdam

QI&CMP workshop, NUI Maynooth – Sept 16, 2009

## **Collaborators and references**



UvA, Amsterdam: K. Schoutens



**UVa, Charlottesville: P. Fendley**, J. Halverson

P. Fendley, K. Schoutens, J. de Boer, PRL (2003)
P. Fendley, K. Schoutens, PRL (2005)
L. Huijse, J. Halverson, P. Fendley, K. Schoutens, PRL (2008)
L. Huijse, K. Schoutens, arXiv:0903.0784

## **Motivation**

challenge: understand quantum phases of strongly repelling lattice fermions at intermediate densities



Fermi liquid





Mott insulator

# Supersymmetric model for lattice fermions

#### name of the game:

 lattice models for spin-less fermions tuned to be supersymmetric



#### key features:

- susy implies delicate balance between kinetic and potential terms, leading to interesting ground state structure
- analytic control due to such tools as the Witten index and cohomology techniques

## Supersymmetric model for lattice fermions

### characteristics:

- quantum criticality in 1D (N=2 superconformal FT)
- superfrustration in 2D (extensive ground state entropy)
- supertopological phases in 2D

## Outline

## > Supersymmetric quantum mechanics

- ≻ The model
- ▷ 1D: Quantum criticality
- > 2D: Superfrustration
- > 2D: Supertopological phases

### **Supersymmetric QM: algebraic structure**

susy charges  $Q^+$ ,  $Q^-=(Q^+)^+$  and fermion number  $N_f$ :

$$(\mathbf{Q}^{+})^{2} = 0, \ (\mathbf{Q}^{-})^{2} = 0, \ [N_{f}, \mathbf{Q}^{\pm}] = \pm \mathbf{Q}^{\pm}$$

Hamiltonian defined as

$$H = \left\{ \mathbf{Q}^+, \mathbf{Q}^- \right\}$$

satisfies

 $[H,Q^+] = [H,Q^-] = 0$ ,  $[H,N_f] = 0$ 

## **Spectrum of supersymmetric QM**

- $E \ge o$  for all states
- E > o states are paired into doublets of the susy algebra  $\{ |\psi\rangle, Q^+ |\psi\rangle \}, \quad Q^- |\psi\rangle = 0$
- E = o iff a state is a singlet under the susy algebra

$$\mathbf{Q}^+ | \psi \rangle = \mathbf{Q}^- | \psi \rangle = \mathbf{0}$$

• if *E* = *o* ground state exist, supersymmetry is unbroken.

$$W = \mathrm{Tr}(-1)^{N_f}$$

- E > o doublets  $\{|\psi\rangle, Q^+|\psi\rangle\}$ with  $N_f = f, N_f = f + 1$  cancel in W
- only *E*=*o* groundstates contribute

→ |W| is lower bound on # of ground states

[Witten 1982]

## Outline

Supersymmetric quantum mechanics

## > The model

- ➢ 1D: Quantum criticality
- > 2D: Superfrustration
- > 2D: Supertopological phases

configurations: lattice fermions with nearest neighbor exclusion



configurations: lattice fermions with nearest neighbor exclusion



configurations: lattice fermions with nearest neighbor exclusion



configurations: lattice fermions with nearest neighbor exclusion



nilpotent supercharges, respecting exclusion rule:

$$Q^{+} = \sum_{i} c_{i}^{+} \prod_{\delta} (1 - n_{i+\delta}), \quad Q^{-} = (Q^{+})^{+} \qquad n_{i} = c_{i}^{+} c_{i}$$

Hamiltonian: kinetic (hopping) plus potential terms

$$H = \left\{ \mathbf{Q}^+, \mathbf{Q}^- \right\} = H_{kin} + H_{pot}$$

[Fendley - Schoutens - de Boer 2003]



$$Q^{+} = \sum_{i} (1 - n_{i-1})c_{i}^{+}(1 - n_{i+1}), \quad Q^{-} = (Q^{+})^{+}$$

Hamiltonian:

$$H = \sum_{i} \left[ (1 - n_{i-1})c_i^+ c_{i+1} (1 - n_{i+2}) + \text{h.c.} \right] + \sum_{i} n_{i-1} n_{i+1} - 2N_f + L$$

## *L*=6 model: Witten index

 $W = \mathrm{Tr}(-1)^{N_f}$ 

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

 $N_f = 0$ : 1 state  $N_f = 1$ : 6 states  $N_f = 2$ : 9 states  $N_f = 3$ : 2 states

 $\Rightarrow$  W = 1 - 6 + 9 - 2 = 2

#### **Spectrum for** *L***=***6* **sites**

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Cohomology technique**

#### Lemma

Susy ground states are in 1-1 correspondence with the cohomology

$$H_{Q,N_f} = \operatorname{Ker}[Q^+]_{N_f} / \operatorname{Im}[Q^+]_{N_f-1}$$

of  $Q^+$  in the complex

$$\dots \xrightarrow{Q^+} H_{N_f} \xrightarrow{Q^+} H_{N_f^{+1}} \xrightarrow{Q^+} \dots$$

Spectral sequence technique for evaluating the cohomology:

- decompose:  $Q^+ = Q^+_A + Q^+_B$ ,
- first evaluate the cohomology  $H_B$  of  $Q^+_B$ ,
- next evaluate the cohomology  $H_A(H_B)$  of  $Q^+_A$

A tic-tac-toe lemma relates  $H_A(H_B)$  to the full cohomology  $H_Q$ . In general,  $H_Q \subseteq H_A(H_B)$ .

## Outline

# Supersymmetric quantum mechanics The read of the second seco

 $\succ$  The model

## > 1D: Quantum criticality

- > 2D: Superfrustration
- > 2D: Supertopological phases

## **Quantum critical behavior 1D**

periodic chain:
2 gs for *L* multiple of 3, else 1 gs

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

- ground states at filling:  $f = N_f / L = \frac{1}{3}$
- exactly solvable via Bethe Ansatz
- continuum limit:  $\mathcal{N}=2$  SCFT with central charge c=1

## N=2 SCFT description for the chain

• finite size spectrum built from vertex operators

$$V_{m,n}$$
,  $(-1)^{m+2n} = -1$ ,  $h_{L,R} = \frac{3}{8} (m \pm \frac{2}{3}n)^2$ 

and Virasoro generators  $L_{-k,L}, L_{-k,R}$ 

• lattice model parameters E, P and  $N_f$  related to conformal dimensions  $h_{L,R}$  and U(1) charges  $q_{L,R}$ . In particular

$$E \propto h_L + h_R - \frac{c}{12}$$

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Outline

Supersymmetric quantum mechanics

- $\succ$  The model
- ▷ 1D: Quantum criticality

## > 2D: Superfrustration

> 2D: Supertopological phases

## **Triangular lattice: Witten index**

 $N \times M$  sites with periodic BC

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	1	-3	-5	1	11	9	-13	-31	-5	57
3	1	-5	-2	7	1	-14	1	31	-2	-65
4	1	1	7	-23	11	25	-69	193	-29	-279
5	1	11	1	11	36	-49	211	-349	811	-1064
6	1	9	-14	25	-49	-102	-13	-415	1462	-4911
7	1	-13	1	-69	211	-13	-797	3403	-7055	5237
8	1	-31	31	193	-349	-415	3403	881	-28517	50849
9	1	-5	-2	-29	881	1462	-7055	-28517	31399	313315
10	1	57	-65	-279	-1064	-4911	5237	50849	313315	950592
11	1	67	1	859	1651	12607	32418	159083	499060	2011307
12	1	-47	130	-1295	-589	-26006	-152697	-535895	-2573258	-3973827
13	1	-181	1	-77	-1949	67523	330331	-595373	-10989458	-49705161
14	1	-87	-257	3641	12611	-139935	-235717	5651377	4765189	-232675057
15	1	275	-2	-8053	-32664	272486	-1184714	-1867189	134858383	-702709340

#### [van Eerten 2005]

#### $\Rightarrow$ `superfrustration'

## Hexagonal lattice: Witten index

 $N \times M$  sites with periodic BC

	<b>2</b>	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18
2	-1	-1	2	-1	-1	2	-1	-1	2
4	3	7	18	47	123	322	843	2207	5778
6	-1	-1	32	-73	44	356	-1387	2087	2435
8	3	7	18	55	123	322	843	2215	5778
10	-1	-1	152	-321	-171	7412	-26496	10079	393767
12	3	7	156	1511	6648	29224	150069	1039991	6208815
14	-1	-1	338	727	-5671	1850	183560	-279497	-4542907
16	3	7	1362	12183	31803	379810	5970107	55449303	327070578

#### [van Eerten 2005]

![](_page_28_Figure_4.jpeg)

## Martini lattice

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

- extensive number of susy ground states, all at filling ¼ (one fermion per triangle)
- susy gs 1-1 with dimer coverings of hexagonal lattice
- exact result for ground state entropy

$$\frac{S_{\rm gs}}{N} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi/3} d\theta \, \ln[2\cos\theta] = 0.16153...$$

[Fendley - Schoutens 2005]

Two results

- ground states exist in range of filling fractions
  - $\frac{1}{7} \le \frac{N_f}{MN} \le \frac{1}{5}$
- upper bound to the number of gs on  $M \times N$  sites

$$\frac{S_{\rm gs}}{MN} \le \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 0.24 \qquad \text{[Engström 2007]}$$

**Open problems** 

- ground state entropy in thermodynamic limit?
- nature of these ground states?

**Triangular lattice: ground states** 

![](_page_30_Picture_9.jpeg)

[Jonsson 2005]

## Outline

Supersymmetric quantum mechanics

- ≻ The model
- ➢ 1D: Quantum criticality
- > 2D: Superfrustration
- > 2D: Supertopological phases

## **Square lattice: Witten index**

 $N \times M$  sites with periodic BC

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	1	-1	1	3	1	-1	1	3	1	-1	1	3	1	-1	1	3	1	-1	1	3
3	1	1	4	1	1	4	1	1	4	1	1	4	1	1	4	1	1	4	1	1
4	1	3	1	7	1	3	1	7	1	3	1	7	1	3	1	7	1	3	1	7
5	1	1	1	1	-9	1	1	1	1	11	1	1	1	1	-9	1	1	1	1	11
6	1	-1	4	3	1	14	1	3	4	-1	1	18	1	-1	4	3	1	14	1	3
7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-27	1	1	1	1	1	1
8	1	3	1	7	1	3	1	7	1	43	1	7	1	3	1	7	1	3	1	47
9	1	1	4	1	1	4	1	1	40	1	1	4	1	1	4	1	1	76	1	1
10	1	-1	1	3	11	-1	1	43	1	9	1	3	1	69	11	43	1	-1	1	13
11	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
12	1	3	4	7	1	18	1	7	4	3	1	166	1	3	4	7	1	126	1	7
13	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	-51	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
14	1	-1	1	3	1	-1	-27	3	1	69	1	3	1	55	1	451	1	-1	1	73
15	1	1	4	1	-9	4	1	1	4	11	1	4	1	1	174	1	1	4	1	11

[Fendley - Schoutens - van Eerten 2005]

![](_page_32_Picture_4.jpeg)

# **Square lattice: Witten index**

periodicities  $\vec{u}, \vec{v}$ 

# Witten index related to rhombus tilings of the lattice

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Theorem [Jonsson 2005]

$$W_{\vec{u},\vec{v}} = t_{even} - t_{odd} - (-1)^{d_-} \theta_{d_-} \theta_{d_+}$$

with  $d_{\pm} = \gcd(u_1 \pm u_2, v_1 \pm v_2)$ ,  $\theta_{3p} = 2$ ,  $\theta_{3p\pm 1} = -1$ 

**Square lattice: ground states** 

number of gs related to rhombus tilings of the lattice, with  $N_f = N_t$ 

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

periodicities  $\vec{u}, \vec{v}$  $v_1 + v_2 = 3p$  $\vec{u} = (m, -m)$ 

![](_page_34_Figure_4.jpeg)

Theorem [Fendley, LH - Schoutens 2009]

# GS = 
$$t_{even} + t_{odd} - (-1)^{(\theta_m + 1)p} \theta_{d_-} \theta_{d_+}$$

with  $d_{\pm} = \gcd(u_1 \pm u_2, v_1 \pm v_2)$ ,  $\theta_{3p} = 2$ ,  $\theta_{3p\pm 1} = -1$ 

## **Square lattice: ground states**

Example: square lattice 6x6

$$\vec{u} = (6,0), \quad \vec{v} = (0,6)$$

- 18 tilings with  $N_t = 8$
- correction term equals -4

 $\Rightarrow$  14 groundstates with  $N_f$ =8, filling 2/9

![](_page_35_Figure_6.jpeg)
#### **Square lattice: ground states**

- *#* gs grows exponentially with the linear size of the system
- zero energy ground states found at intermediate filling:

$$\frac{N_f}{L} \in [1/5, 1/4] \cap \mathbb{Q}$$



#### **Square lattice: ground states**

- *#* gs grows exponentially with the linear size of the system
- zero energy ground states found at intermediate filling:

$$\frac{N_f}{L} \in [1/5, 1/4] \cap \mathbb{Q}$$



#### **Square lattice: edge states**

- for `diagonal' open boundary conditions there is a unique gs; expect that `vanished' torus gs's form band of edge modes
- explicit evidence for critical modes from ED studies of various ladder geometries



[LH - Halverson - Fendley - Schoutens 2008]



- $N \times M$  plaquettes with open bc : unique gs with one fermion per plaquette: `filled Landau level'
- $N \times M$  plaquettes with closed bc:  $2^M + 2^{N-1}$  gs

**Octagon-square lattice** 

• gapless defects that interact through `Dirac strings'

 $\Rightarrow$  `supertopological phase'





## Single plaquette



### Single plaquette



## Single plaquette



## 1D plaquette chain (open)



#### 1D plaquette chain (open)

open bc (1 gs)



#### 1D plaquette chain (closed)



#### 1D plaquette chain (closed)

closed bc (2 gs)





#### [ Maps to staggered 1D chain ]

#### **1D plaquette chain (H-defect)**



#### 1D plaquette chain (H-defect)

H-defect (2 gs)







#### 1D plaquette chain (V-defect)

V-defect (2 gs)





#### 2D lattice (open)

open bc (1 gs)

"filled Landau level"



#### **2D lattice (closed)**

closed bc  $(2^M+2^{N-1} \text{ gs})$ 



#### **2D lattice (closed)**

closed bc  $(2^M+2^{N-1} \text{ gs})$ 



#### **2D lattice (closed)**

closed bc  $(2^M+2^{N-1} \text{ gs})$ 



#### **2D lattice (H-defect)**

H-defect (2 gs)



#### **2D lattice (V-defect)**

V-defect (2 gs)



#### 2D lattice (2 defects)

H-defect plus V-defect (4 gs)

(I)



#### 2D lattice (2 defects)

H-defect plus V-defect (4 gs)

(II)



#### 2D lattice (2 defects)

H-defect plus V-defect (4 gs)

(III)



#### **Supertopological phase?**



need to understand

- gap above torus gs?
- edge modes for open system?
- topological interactions and braiding of H, V and HV defects?

# **Supersymmetric model for lattice fermions** 1D: superconformal criticality 2D: superfrustration V<sub>0,-1</sub> $V_{0,-1/2}$ $V_{0,1/2}$ E₄ 1.0 TT 1.5∏ Ρ $V_{0,0}$ 2D: supertopological phases $\frac{S_{\rm gs}}{N} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi/3} d\theta \, \ln[2\cos\theta] = 0.16153...$

## Thank you

#### **Boundary twist: spectral flow**

wave function picks up a phase  $exp(2\pi i \alpha)$ as a particle hops over a "boundary"

twist:  $\alpha$ :  $o \leftrightarrow 1/2$ "pbc  $\Leftrightarrow$  apbc" = "R  $\Leftrightarrow$  NS sector"



#### in SCFT: twist operator: $V_{o,\alpha}$

→ energy is parabolic function of twist parameter

$$E_{\alpha} = E_0 - \alpha Q_0 + \alpha^2 c/3$$
  
R:  $\alpha = 0$   
NS:  $\alpha = 1/2$ 

#### Spectral flow for 1D chain, L=27, $N_f$ =9

Energy \* L



#### Spectral flow for 1D chain, L=27, $N_f$ =9

Energy \* L



## What can we learn from spectral flow?

- 3 fit parameters
- 4 unknowns: E, Q<sub>o</sub>, c and v<sub>F</sub>
- $\rightarrow$  ratios
- for 1D chain we extract:

#### numerics

sector	E/c	Q <sub>o</sub> /c	c*v <sub>F</sub>
R	0	-0.334	3.92
NS	-0.083	0	3.92
R	0	0.342	3.89
NS	0.254	0.675	3.89



SCFT

state	E	Qo
$V_{0,1/2}$	0	-1/3
V <sub>0,0</sub>	-1/12	0
V <sub>0,-1/2</sub>	0	1/3
V <sub>0,-1</sub>	1/4	2/3

#### Edge modes (heuristic argument)

- plane: #gs = 1
- cylinder: #gs ~  $2^{M}$
- torus : #gs ~  $2^{M+N}$





## **Spectral flow for the square lattice**

- square ladder
  (2,0)x(0,L)
- zigzag ladder
   (2,1)x(0,L)
   GS for v ∈ [1/5,1/4]
- (3,3)x(0,L)
   fermions can hop past each other



## Spectral flow results (3,3)x(0,11), N<sub>f</sub>=8



# **Spectral flow results**

$(L,0)\times(3,3)$				$(L,0)\times(1,2)$			$(L,0)\times(0,2)$				
N	f	E/c	Q/c	N	f	E/c	Q/c	N	f	E/c	Q/c
18	4	-0.0851	0.004	- 9	2	-0.0858	-0.005	16	4	-0.0897	-0.014
36	8	-0.0841	-0.002	18	4	-0.0842	-0.002	24	6	-0.0889	-0.012
15	4	0.0898	0.349	27	6	-0.0839	-0.001	32	8	-0.0885	-0.011
21	4	0.0850	0.337	17	4	0.0844	0.336	12	3	0.0911	0.350
24	5	0.0850	0.337	26	6	0.0840	0.335	20	5	0.0900	0.348
30	7	0.0853	0.338	35	8	0.0839	0.335	28	7	0.0894	0.347
33	8	0.0855	0.338	14	3	0.2666	0.701	14	4	0.0855	0.338
				23	5	0.2458	0.657	22	6	0.0849	0.337
				32	7	0.2432	0.652	30	8	0.0847	0.336
## **Spectral flow results**

$(L,0)\times(3,3)$				$(L,0)\times(1,2)$				$(L,0)\times(0,2)$				
N	f	E/c	Q/c	N	f	E/c	Q/c	1	V	f	E/c	Q/c
18	4	-0.0851	0.004	- 9	2	-0.0858	-0.005	1	6	4	-0.0897	-0.014
36	8	-0.0841	-0.002	18	4	-0.0842	-0.002	2	4	6	-0.0889	-0.012
15	4	0.0898	0.349	27	6	-0.0839	-0.001	3	2	8	-0.0885	-0.011
21	4	0.0850	0.337	17	4	0.0844	0.336	1	2	3	0.0911	0.350
24	5	0.0850	0.337	26	6	0.0840	0.335	2	0	5	0.0900	0.348
30	7	0.0853	0.338	35	8	0.0839	0.335	2	8	7	0.0894	0.347
33	8	0.0855	0.338	14	3	0.2666	0.701	1	4	4	0.0855	0.338
				23	5	0.2458	0.657	2	2	6	0.0849	0.337
				32	7	0.2432	0.652	3	0	8	0.0847	0.336

minimal models in SCFT:

$$c = \frac{3k}{k+2}$$

 $E/c = \frac{4l-k}{12k}$  and  $Q_0/c = \frac{2l}{3k}$  $l = 0: (-1/12,0), \ l = k/2: (1/12,1/3), \ l = k: (1/4,2/3)$