

Please hand in your solutions no later than Tuesday, February 25. If you have questions about this assignment, please ask your lecturer,

Joost Slingerland, (joost-at-thphys-dot-nuim-dot-ie), Office 1.7D, Mathematical Physics

**Ex. 2.1: Creation and annihilation operators**

We look at bosonic creation and annihilation operators  $a_i$  and fermionic creation and annihilation operators  $c_i$

- a. State the canonical commutation and anticommutation relations for the  $a_i$  and  $a_i^\dagger$  and for the  $c_i$  and  $c_i^\dagger$ .
- b. We define  $\hat{n}_i = a_i^\dagger a_i$  for bosons and  $\hat{n}_i = c_i^\dagger c_i$  for fermions. Show that  $[\hat{n}_i, a_j^\dagger] = \delta_{ij} a_i^\dagger$  for bosons and that  $[\hat{n}_i, c_j^\dagger] = \delta_{ij} c_i^\dagger$  for fermions (notice that this is a commutator, not an anticommutator!). Use only the canonical commutation and anticommutation relations.

We now consider the  $N$ -particle states  $a_{i_1}^\dagger a_{i_2}^\dagger \dots a_{i_N}^\dagger |0\rangle$  for bosons and  $c_{i_1}^\dagger c_{i_2}^\dagger \dots c_{i_N}^\dagger |0\rangle$  for fermions (here  $|0\rangle$  denotes the vacuum state). Note that some of the indices  $i_p$  can be the same for bosons (why not for fermions?)

- c. Show that  $\hat{n}_j a_{i_1}^\dagger a_{i_2}^\dagger \dots a_{i_N}^\dagger |0\rangle = \left(\sum_p \delta_{j,i_p}\right) a_{i_1}^\dagger a_{i_2}^\dagger \dots a_{i_N}^\dagger |0\rangle$ . Argue similarly that  $\hat{n}_j c_{i_1}^\dagger c_{i_2}^\dagger \dots c_{i_N}^\dagger |0\rangle = \left(\sum_p \delta_{j,i_p}\right) c_{i_1}^\dagger c_{i_2}^\dagger \dots c_{i_N}^\dagger |0\rangle$ . Finally argue that in fact  $\hat{n}_j a_{i_1}^\dagger a_{i_2}^\dagger \dots a_{i_N}^\dagger |0\rangle = n_j a_{i_1}^\dagger a_{i_2}^\dagger \dots a_{i_N}^\dagger |0\rangle$ . Where the unhatted  $n_j$  is the occupation number of the single particle state  $\psi_j$ . Similarly for fermions,  $\hat{n}_j c_{i_1}^\dagger c_{i_2}^\dagger \dots c_{i_N}^\dagger |0\rangle = n_j c_{i_1}^\dagger c_{i_2}^\dagger \dots c_{i_N}^\dagger |0\rangle$  where now  $n_j \in \{0, 1\}$
- d. Show that the states  $c_{i_1}^\dagger c_{i_2}^\dagger \dots c_{i_N}^\dagger |0\rangle$  for fermions form an orthonormal basis for the Hilbert space. More specifically, show that these states are normalized and that two such states are orthogonal unless they have the same number of particles  $N$  and the same indices  $i_1, \dots, i_N$  for the occupied single particle states. Again, you can calculate the inner products using only the canonical anticommutation rules.
- e. Show that the states for bosons are also orthogonal. They are not necessarily normalized however. Calculate the norms of the bosonic states. *Hint: start by showing that  $[a_i, (a_i^\dagger)^n] = n(a_i^\dagger)^{n-1}$*

**Ex. 2.2: Potentials in terms of creation and annihilation operators**

We consider a system of fermions with single particle wave functions  $\psi_k$  and corresponding creation and annihilation operators  $c_k^\dagger, c_k$ . In this system the particles interact through a two particle potential  $V(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2)$ .

- a. The states  $c_k^\dagger c_{k'}^\dagger |0\rangle$ , with  $k < k'$ , form a basis for the space of two-particle states. Find the action of the operator  $c_m^\dagger c_{m'}^\dagger c_l c_{l'}$  on this basis. More precisely, show that

$$c_m^\dagger c_{m'}^\dagger c_l c_{l'} c_k^\dagger c_{k'}^\dagger |0\rangle = (\delta_{k,l'} \delta_{k',l} - \delta_{k,l} \delta_{k',l'}) c_m^\dagger c_{m'}^\dagger |0\rangle$$

- b. Show that

$$\hat{V} c_k^\dagger c_{k'}^\dagger |0\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l,l',m,m'} V_{m',m,l,l'} c_m^\dagger c_{m'}^\dagger c_l c_{l'} c_k^\dagger c_{k'}^\dagger |0\rangle$$

where

$$V_{m',m,l,l'} = \int d\vec{x}_1 d\vec{x}_2 \bar{\psi}_{m'}(\vec{x}_1) \bar{\psi}_m(\vec{x}_2) V(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2) \psi_l(\vec{x}_1) \psi_{l'}(\vec{x}_2)$$

- c. Now let the  $\psi_k$  be momentum eigenfunctions. To keep things simple, we consider the case, where the particles live in one dimension, on a line segment of length  $L$ , with periodic boundary conditions, so  $\psi_k(x+L) = \psi_k(x)$ . In this case we can write  $\psi_k(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} e^{ikx}$ , with  $k = \frac{2\pi n}{L}$  for some integer  $n$ . We now assume that  $V(x_1, x_2)$  depends only on the difference  $x_1 - x_2$  (in fact it will usually depend only on the absolute value of this difference). More concretely, we write  $V(x_1, x_2) = \mathcal{V}(x_1 - x_2)$ . We require that  $\mathcal{V}(x+L) = \mathcal{V}(x)$ . Show that in this case,

$$V_{m',m,l,l'} = \delta_{m+m',l+l'} \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L \mathcal{V}(x) e^{i(m-l')x} dx$$

- d. For extra points (and kudos!) repeat parts **a.** and **b.** of this exercise for states with more than 2 particles. In other words, show that we actually have

$$\hat{V} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l,l',m,m'} V_{m',m,l,l'} c_m^\dagger c_{m'}^\dagger c_l c_{l'}$$

on the entire Fock space.